



TITLE:

Neumann problems for singular degenerate parabolic equations on nonsmooth domains (Viscosity Solutions of Differential Equations and Related Topics)

AUTHOR(S):

Sato, Moto-Hiko

CITATION:

Sato, Moto-Hiko. Neumann problems for singular degenerate parabolic equations on nonsmooth domains (Viscosity Solutions of Differential Equations and Related Topics). 数理解析研究所講究録 2003, 1323: 174-182

ISSUE DATE:

2003-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/43138>

RIGHT:

Neumann problems for singular degenerate parabolic equations on nonsmooth domains

室蘭工業大学 佐藤元彦 (Moto-Hiko Sato)
Muroran Institute of Technology

1 Introduction

This is a joint work with F. Da Lio. In this paper we are concerned with the following boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad u_t + F(t, x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q = (0, T) \times \Omega,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 \quad \text{in } S = (0, T) \times \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n and $T > 0$. Here $u_t = \partial u / \partial t$, and Du and D^2u denote, respectively, the gradient and Hessian of u . Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n and $\Omega = \bigcap_{i \in I} \Omega_i$ where I is a finite index set and Ω_i 's are domains in \mathbf{R}^n with relatively regular boundary such that $\partial\Omega_i \in C^3$. For $x \in \partial\Omega$ we denote by $I(x)$ the set of those indices i which satisfy $x \in \partial\Omega_i$. Let $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of vector fields on \mathbf{R}^n such that each γ_i is oblique to Ω_i on $\partial\Omega_i$, i.e., $\langle \gamma_i(x), n_i(x) \rangle > 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega_i$, where $n_i(x)$ denotes the outward unit normal vector of Ω_i at x . We deal with equations (1.1) in a class of singular degenerate parabolic equations which includes the mean curvature flow equation. In the case when F is continuous in its variables, there is already a comparison and existence result for viscosity solutions of second order degenerate parabolic PDE with boundary condition (1.2). We refer for this to [D-I]. In the case of singular PDE like the mean curvature flow equation and $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, Giga and Sato [G-S] have established comparison and existence results for viscosity solutions under the Neumann condition and the author [S], Ishii-Sato [I-S] and Barles [B] treated the case of fully nonlinear boundary condition including capillary boundary condition. Our aim in this paper is to establish comparison and existence theorems concerning viscosity solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) when Ω is piecewise smooth.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state and prove our comparison result and establish our existence result and we explain how to build test functions which are needed in the proof of the comparison and existence theorems.

Acknowledgement: The authors are grateful to Professor Ishii for his many useful advices.

2 A comparison and existence theorem

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n and $\Omega = \bigcap_{i \in I} \Omega_i$ where I is a finite index set and Ω_i 's are domains in \mathbf{R}^n with relatively regular boundary. For $x \in \partial\Omega$ we denote by $I(x)$ the set of those indices i which satisfy $x \in \partial\Omega_i$. Let $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of vector fields on \mathbf{R}^n such that each γ_i is oblique to Ω_i on $\partial\Omega_i$, i.e., $\langle \gamma_i(x), n_i(x) \rangle > 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega_i$, where $n_i(x)$ denotes the outward unit normal vector of Ω_i at x .

We start by listing our assumptions. Henceforth, for $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ we write $\bar{p} = \frac{p}{|p|}$ and $\rho(p, q) = [(|p| \wedge |q|)^{-1} |p - q|] \wedge 1$. Here and henceforth we use the notation: $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$.

$$(F1) \quad F \in C([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathcal{S}^n),$$

where \mathcal{S}^n denotes the space of $n \times n$ real matrices equipped with the usual ordering.

$$(F2) \quad \text{There exists a constant } \gamma \in \mathbf{R} \text{ such that for each } (t, x, p, X) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathcal{S}^n \text{ the function } u \mapsto F(t, x, u, p, X) - \gamma u \text{ is non-decreasing on } \mathbf{R}.$$

$$(F3) \quad \text{For each } R > 0 \text{ there exists a continuous function } \omega_R : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ satisfying } \omega_R(0) = 0 \text{ such that if } X, Y \in \mathcal{S}^n \text{ and } \mu_1, \mu_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ satisfy}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \leq \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & F(t, x, u, p, X) - F(t, y, u, q, -Y) \\ & \geq -\omega_R(\mu_1(|x - y|^2 + \rho(p, q)^2) + \mu_2 + |p - q| + |x - y|(|p| \vee |q| + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}$, $u \in \mathbf{R}$, with $|u| \leq R$, and $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

$$(B1) \quad \text{For each } i \in I \text{ the boundary } \partial\Omega_i \text{ is of class } C^3.$$

$$(B2) \quad \text{For each } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ there is a neighborhood } V \text{ of } x \text{ in } \partial\Omega \text{ such that } I(y) \subset I(x) \text{ for } y \in V.$$

$$(B3) \quad \text{For each } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ the convex hull of the vectors } \gamma_i(x), \text{ with } i \in I(x), \text{ does not contain the origin.}$$

(B4) For each $z \in \partial\Omega$ there is a family $\{B(x) : x \in W\}$ of compact convex subsets of \mathbf{R}^n with $0 \in W$ for all $x \in W$, where W is an open neighborhood of z , such that the family is of class $C^{2,+}$ and such that for all $x \in W \cap \partial\Omega$, $p \in \partial B(x)$, $i \in I(x)$ and $n \in N_p(B(x))$,

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \gamma_i(x), n \rangle \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } \langle p, n_i(x) \rangle \geq -1, \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } \langle p, n_i(x) \rangle \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that (F1)–(F3) and (B1)–(B4) hold. Let $u \in \text{USC}([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$ and $v \in \text{LSC}([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$ be, respectively, viscosity sub- and supersolutions of (1.1)–(1.2). If $u(0, x) \leq v(0, x)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $u \leq v$ on $(0, T) \times \bar{\Omega}$.

Let $Q_0 = (0, T) \times \bar{\Omega}$. A function $u : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a viscosity subsolution of (1.1)–(1.2) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $u^* < +\infty$
- (ii) $\tau + F_*(x, r, p, X) \leq 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ $(\tau, p, X) \in \rho_{Q_0}^{2,+} u^*(t, x)$
 $\tau + F_*(x, r, p, X) \wedge \min\{\langle \gamma_i(x), p \rangle : i \in I(x)\} \leq 0$
for $x \in \partial\Omega$ $(\tau, p, X) \in \rho_{Q_0}^{2,+} u^*(t, x)$

Similarly a function $u : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a viscosity subsolution of (1.1)–(1.2) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $u_* > -\infty$
- (ii) $\tau + F^*(x, r, p, X) \geq 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ $(\tau, p, X) \in \rho_{Q_0}^{2,-} u_*(t, x)$
 $\tau + F^*(x, r, p, X) \wedge \min\{\langle \gamma_i(x), p \rangle : i \in I(x)\} \geq 0$
for $x \in \partial\Omega$ $(\tau, p, X) \in \rho_{Q_0}^{2,-} u_*(t, x)$

Here $a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$, $a \vee b = \max(a, b)$ and $\rho_{Q_0}^{2,+} u^*(t, x)$ (resp. $\rho_{Q_0}^{2,-} u_*(t, x)$) denotes the parabolic super 2-jet in Q_0 . (see [CIL]) Any function u

Remark 2.2. Assumptions (F1) and (F3) imply that

$$-\infty < F_*(t, x, u, 0, 0) = F^*(t, x, u, 0, 0) < \infty$$

In what follows we use the notation: for any $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$(2.2) \quad \rho^*(p, q) = \begin{cases} \rho(p, q) & \text{if } p, q \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if either } p = 0 \text{ or } q = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the function ρ^* is upper semi-continuous on $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$.

Remark 2.3. We state typical examples of F satisfying (F1)-(F3). Let $A : \bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow M^{n \times m}$, where $M^{n \times m}$ denotes the space of real $n \times m$ matrices, be a function which is homogeneous of degree zero, i.e.,

$$A(x, \lambda p) = A(x, p) \quad \text{for all } (x, p, \lambda) \in \bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)$$

and which satisfies

$$\|A(x, p) - A(y, q)\| \leq C_1(|x - y| + |p - q|) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ and } p, q \in S^{n-1},$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant and S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere $\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n : |\xi| = 1\}$. It follows that for all $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x, p) - A(y, q)\| &\leq C_1 \left(|x - y| + \left| \frac{p}{|p|} - \frac{q}{|q|} \right| \right) \\ &\leq C_1 \left(|x - y| + \frac{|p - q|}{|p| \vee |q|} \right) \leq C_1(|x - y| + 2\rho(p, q)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $b \in C(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$|b(x) - b(y)| \leq C_2|x - y| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Furthermore let $c, f \in C(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbf{R})$ be given. Define the function $F \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times S^n)$ by

$$F(x, u, p, X) = -\operatorname{tr}[A(x, p)^* A(x, p)X] + \langle b(x), p \rangle + c(x)u + f(x).$$

As is observed in [CIL], if $X, Y \in S^n$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in [0, \infty)$ satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \leq \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{tr}[A(x, p)^* A(x, p)X] - \operatorname{tr}[A(y, q)^* A(y, q)Y] &\leq C_3 \|A(x, p) - A(y, q)\|^2 \\ &\leq 4C_3 C_1 (|x - y|^2 + \rho(p, q)^2). \end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to see that F satisfies condition (F3). Also, it is immediate to see that condition (F2) is satisfied with $\gamma \leq \min_{\bar{\Omega}} c$.

If $A(x, p) = I - |p|^{-2}(p \otimes p)$, $b = 0$, and $c = f = 0$, then it is the case of the mean curvature flow equation and the above conditions on A , b , c , and f are valid.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We may assume by replacing $T > 0$ by a smaller number if necessary that u and $-v$ is bounded above on $[0, T) \times \bar{\Omega}$. For any constant $A \geq \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(0, x) \vee (-v(0, x))$, if we choose a constant $B > 0$ large enough, then the functions

$$f(t, x) = -A - Bt \quad \text{and} \quad g(t, x) = A + Bt$$

are, respectively, (viscosity) sub- and supersolutions of (1.1)–(1.2). For such functions f and g , we set

$$\tilde{u}(t, x) = u(t, x) \vee f(t, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}(t, x) = v(t, x) \wedge g(t, x),$$

and observe that \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} are, respectively, sub- and supersolutions of (1.1)–(1.2) and that $\tilde{u}(0, x) \leq \tilde{v}(0, x)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. If we can show that $\tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v}$ on $[0, T) \times \bar{\Omega}$ for any such f and g , then we see that $u \leq v$ on $[0, T) \times \bar{\Omega}$. This observation reduces the proof to the case where u and v are bounded.

Also, the standard technique reduces the proof to the case when $\gamma = 0$ in (F2). Indeed, if $\gamma < 0$, then the functions $\hat{u}(t, x) = e^{\gamma t}u(t, x)$ and $\hat{v}(t, x) = e^{\gamma t}v(t, x)$ are, respectively, sub- and supersolutions of (1.1)–(1.2) with $F(t, x, r, p, X)$ replaced by the function

$$e^{\gamma t}(-\gamma r + F(t, x, e^{-\gamma t}r, e^{-\gamma t}p, e^{-\gamma t}X)).$$

Thus we may assume that u and v are bounded on $[0, T) \times \bar{\Omega}$ and that the function $r \mapsto F(t, x, r, p, X)$ is non-decreasing in \mathbf{R} for each $(t, x, p, X) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathcal{S}^n$.

By virtue of lemma 2.7, there are a function $w \in C^2(\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega})$ and a positive constant C such that for all $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}$,

$$(2.3) \quad |x - y|^4 \leq w(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^4, \\ |D_x w(x, y)| \vee |D_y w(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^3,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \langle \gamma_i(x), D_x w(x, y) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(x) \\ \langle \gamma_i(y), -D_y w(x, y) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(y)$$

$$(2.5) \quad |D_x w(x, y) + D_y w(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^4, \\ \rho^*(D_x w(x, y), -D_y w(x, y)) \leq C|x - y|,$$

and for a. e. $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}$,

$$(2.6) \quad D^2 w(x, y) \leq C \left\{ |x - y|^2 \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} + |x - y|^4 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

We argue by contradiction. So we suppose that

$$(2.7) \quad m_0 := \sup\{u(t, x) - v(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \bar{\Omega}\} > 0.$$

For $\alpha > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ we define

$$\Psi(t, x, y) = \frac{\varepsilon}{T - t} + \alpha w(x, y) + \delta(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)), \\ \Phi(t, x, y) = u(t, x) - v(t, y) - \Psi(t, x, y)$$

for $(t, x, y) \in [0, T) \times \bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}$. Here the function $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$\varphi > 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle D\varphi(x), \gamma_i(x) \rangle \geq 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad i \in I(x)$$

Actually we can construct the above function φ . (see [D-I]) From (2.7) we infer that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, the function Φ attains a maximum greater than $m_0/2$. Fix such δ and ε , and choose a maximum point $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{y})$ of Φ . Note that Φ and $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{y})$ depend on $\alpha, \varepsilon, \delta$.

It is now well-known (see, e.g., [CIL]) that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \Phi(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) = m_0,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{ \alpha w(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) : 0 < \delta < 1, 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \} = 0.$$

We will pass to the limit as $\delta \searrow 0, \alpha \rightarrow \infty$ in this order. Thus, in view of (2.8), we may assume that $\hat{t} > 0$ and that $u(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) > v(\hat{t}, \hat{y})$.

Note that

$$(2.10) \quad \langle \gamma_i(x), D_x w(x, y) \rangle \geq \delta \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(x)$$

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \gamma_i(y), -D_y w(x, y) \rangle \leq -\delta \quad \text{for all } y \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(x)$$

We apply the maximum principle for semi-continuous functions (see [CIL]), to find matrices $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}^n$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \leq 3C\alpha|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^2 \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} + C_1(\alpha|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^4 + \delta) \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where C is the constant from (2.6) and $C_1 = C \vee \sup_{x \in \Omega} \|D^2\psi(x)\|$, and such that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{(T - \hat{t})^2} + F_*(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}, X) - F^*(\hat{t}, \hat{y}, \hat{v}, \hat{q}, -Y) \leq 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u} &= u(\hat{t}, \hat{x}), & \hat{v} &= v(\hat{t}, \hat{y}), \\ \hat{p} &= \alpha D_x w(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) + \delta D\psi(\hat{x}), & \hat{q} &= -\alpha D_y w(\hat{t}, \hat{y}) - \delta D\psi(\hat{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2) and writing $\omega = \omega_R$, where $R = \sup_{[0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}} (|u| + |v|)$, we get

$$0 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{(T - \hat{t})^2} + F_*(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}, X) - F^*(\hat{t}, \hat{y}, \hat{u}, \hat{q}, -Y) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{T^2} - \omega(r_1 + r_2 + r_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= 3C\alpha|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^2(|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^2 + \rho^*(\hat{p}, \hat{q})^2), \\ r_2 &= C_1(\alpha|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^4 + \delta), \\ r_3 &= |\hat{p} - \hat{q}| + |\hat{x} - \hat{y}|(|\hat{p}| \vee |\hat{q}| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Sending $\delta \searrow 0$ along a sequence, we may assume that $\hat{t} \rightarrow \bar{t}$, $\hat{x} \rightarrow \bar{x}$, $\hat{y} \rightarrow \bar{y}$, $\hat{p} \rightarrow \bar{p}$, $\hat{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}$, and $r_i \rightarrow s_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We then get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & 0 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{T^2} - \omega(s_1 + s_2 + s_3), \\
 & \bar{p} = \alpha D_x w(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \quad \bar{q} = -\alpha D_y w(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \\
 & s_1 \leq 3C\alpha(1+C)|\bar{x} - \bar{y}|^4 \leq 3C(1+C)\alpha w(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), \\
 & s_2 \leq C_1\alpha w(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \\
 & s_3 \leq |\bar{p} - \bar{q}| + |\bar{x} - \bar{y}|(|\bar{p}| \vee |\bar{q}| + 1) \\
 & \leq +C\alpha|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^4 + |\hat{x} - \hat{y}|(C\alpha|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^3 + 1) \\
 & \leq 2C\alpha w(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + |\bar{x} - \bar{y}|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Sending $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get a contradiction, which proves that $\sup_{[0,T) \times \bar{\Omega}}(u-v) \leq 0$. \square

We next show the existence of a viscosity solution of the initial-boundary value problem

$$(2.13) \quad u_t + F(t, x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 \quad \text{in } S = (0, T) \times \partial\Omega,$$

$$(2.15) \quad u(0, x) = g(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

where $g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a given function.

Theorem 2.6. Assume that (F1)–(F3) and (B1)–(B4) hold. Then for each $g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ there is a (unique) viscosity solution $u \in C([0, T) \times \bar{\Omega})$ of (2.13)–(2.14) satisfying (2.15).

Sketch of proof. We use the Perron method (see [CIL]) to show the existence of a continuous viscosity solution. If we introduce the new unknown $\hat{u}(t, x) = e^{\gamma t}u(t, x)$, where $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$ is the constant from (F2), then the problem (2.13)–(2.14) is reduced to the case when $\gamma = 0$. Hence, we may assume that $\gamma = 0$. According to lemma 2.7, there is a function $w \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega})$ having the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad & |x - y|^4 \leq w(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^4, \\
 & |D_x w(x, y)| \vee |D_y w(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^3,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.17) \quad \langle \gamma_i(x), D_x w(x, y) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(x)$$

$$\langle \gamma_i(y), -D_y w(x, y) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(y)$$

We can construct sub- and supersolutions of (2.13)-(2.14) satisfying (2.15) similarly as [Theorem 3.1, I-S].

□

Let each Ω_i be a bounded domain with C^3 boundary $\partial\Omega$ in R^n . Then we see there is a positive constant C_i such that

$$(2.18) \quad \langle \gamma_i(x), x - y \rangle + C_i |x - y|^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega_i, \quad y \in \overline{\Omega}$$

(see [I-L]).

Lemma 2.7. Assume that (B1)–(B4) hold. There are a function $w \in C^2(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega})$ and a positive constant C such that for all $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}$,

$$(2.19) \quad |x - y|^4 \leq w(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^4, \\ |D_x w(x, y)| \vee |D_y w(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^3,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \langle \gamma_i(x), D_x w(x, y) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(x) \\ \langle \gamma_i(y), -D_y w(x, y) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \partial\Omega, \quad i \in I(y)$$

$$(2.21) \quad |D_x w(x, y) + D_y w(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^4, \\ \rho^*(D_x w(x, y), -D_y w(x, y)) \leq C|x - y|,$$

and for a. e. $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}$,

$$(2.22) \quad D^2 w(x, y) \leq C \left\{ |x - y|^2 \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} + |x - y|^4 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Sketch of proof. We can construct the function $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$\varphi > 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle D\varphi(x), \gamma_i(x) \rangle \geq \max(1, 2C_i \min_{\overline{\Omega}} \varphi) \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad i \in I(x)$$

(see [D-S]). We set $w(x, y) = |x - y|^4(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y))$. Then using (2.18) we can check this function w satisfies (2.19)-(2.22) similarly as [G-S].

□

References

- [B] G. Barles, Nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions for quasilinear degenerate elliptic equations and applications. J. Differential Equations 154 (1999), no. 1, 191–224.
- [CIL] M. Crandall, H. Ishii, and P.-L. Lions, User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 27 (1992), no. 1, 1–67.

- [D-L] P.Dupuis and H.Ishii, On oblique derivative problems for fully nonlinear second-order elliptic PDE's on domains with corners. Hokkaido Mathematical Journal 20 (1991), 135–164
- [GS] Y. Giga and M.-H. Sato, Neumann problem for singular degenerate parabolic equations. Differential Integral Equations 6 (1993), no. 6, 1217–1230.
- [I] H. Ishii and M.-H. Sato, Nonlinear oblique derivative problems for singular degenerate parabolic equations on a general domain. preprint
- [I-L] H.Ishii and P.L.Lions, Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second -order elliptic partial differential equations. Journal of Differential Equations 83 (1990), 26–78
- [S] M.-H. Sato, Capillary problem for singular degenerate parabolic equations on a half space. Differential Integral Equations 9 (1996), no. 6, 1213–1224.